		STATINIL
	Appr6l/A8SH5KA@lease 2002/@6/280F@LAHADP7@e04864	A <b>ponsons</b> sop <u>22</u> 51
,	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY INFORMATION FROM	REPORT NO.
	FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS	CD NO.
COUNTRY	USSR	DATE OF
SUBJECT	INDICATIONS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL VULNERABILITIES	INFORMATION 2-15 September 1952
HOW PUBLISHED		DATE DIST. 7 OCT 1952
WHERE PUBLISH <b>ED</b>		NO. OF PAGES 9
DATE PUBLISHED	·	
LANGUAGE		SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO.
THIS DOCUMENT CO	WAINC INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE	

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE Monitored Broadcasts

CPW Report No. 51 A--USSR (2-15 September 1952)

### CONTENTS

PARTY ACTIVITIES	
Personnel Sele	ection 1
Contact with N	lasses l
Intraparty Den	ocracy 6
IDEOLOGY	8
MISCELLANEOUS	

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

# UNCLASSIFIED

RETURN TO ARCHIVES & RECORDS CENTER

CLASSIFICATION

STATE	X	NAVY	X	NSRB	DISTRIBUTION		T	
ARMY	X	AIR	X	FBI				

Approved For Release 2002/06/28: CIA-RDP78-04864A000300030022-1

221554/1

Approved For Release 2002/06/28 : CIA-RDP78-04864A000300030022-1	STATINTL
UNCLASSIFIED	01/111111

. 2 **.** 

#### PARTY ACTIVITIES

Reports on oblast, rayon and city Farty conferences, all of them preliminaries to the 19th Party Congress, predominate the broadcasts on Party life. The ostensible purpose of these conferences is "to promote the discussion of materials" (razvivat obsuzhdenie materialov) contained in the revised Party Statute which is to be considered by the Congress early in October. As mentioned in the previous CPW report, however, such discussions are invariably preceded by an "unanimous approval" (edinodushnoye odobrenie) of the proposed Statute by the conference, occasionally followed by suggestions of minor changes in the phraseology of minor clauses. The actual purpose of the mentioned Party meetings, it appears, is a last minute stock-taking of intraparty vices and virtues, achievements and failings presumably to be used for the guidance of the forthcoming Congress. The occasion is undoubtedly used also for another attempt to stamp out corruption, nepotism and related malpractices within the Farty, and to weed out such Communist officials who permit them. Regional radio and press criticism of shortcomings in cadre training, contact with the masses (avyaz s massami), self-criticism and intraparty democracy is repeated with relentless monotony in anticipation of the announced but as yet little publicized structural changes in the Party. So heavy is the pressure on the Party conferences to find and criticize shortcomings, that regional papers referring to conferences to be held, often provide a hint of what is expected of them: "The oblast conference ... will discover shortcomings and mistakes ... and will find ways to improve the work" (KIROVOGRADSKA PRAVDA editorial, 10 September).

Personnel Training and Distribution -- Referring to the current oblast Party conferences in the Ukraine, RanyAMSKA UKRAINA (5 September) says that one of the major tasks still facing the Party is the introduction of the merit system in the training, selection and appointment of Communist personnel. Party officials everywhere must bear in mind that only "political and business qualifications" (politychny i dilovy yakosti) must govern the selection of personnel for positions of leadership. That such considerations are often slighted is evident from the reports coming in from Kirovograd, Kiev and other oblast Party conferences revealing a variety of shortcomings traceable to incompetent and undesirable personnel. The difference between qualified and incompetent personnel, says Kurtynin in PRAVDA (8 September), is the difference between a paper directive and a job well done. This was brought out at a recent Party conference in Leningrad where the lack of attention to the officials of the lower or primary Party organizations is said to have resulted in an enormous "fluidity" (tekuchest) of the cadres. The last "reporting and election meeting" (otchetno-vybornoye sobranie) held in that district, Kurtynin adds, revealed that 117 secretaries of Party organizations had been changed within a short time and replaced by others whose qualifications are presumably still doubtful.

Improve the selection, distribution and training of leading cadres, says STALINSKOYE ZNAMYA editorially on 9 September, and most of the Party ills will be cured. Referring to the recent Ryazan town Party conference, the paper speaks approvingly of the resolution carried at this conference stating that what the Party needs is thorough organizational and political reconstruction and a revision of its cadre-training policy. Ryazan's industrial expansion, it is claimed, has emphasized the need for more and better qualified Communist personnel, and the town Party has apparently been unable to adequately cope with the situation. A report from Toilisi (9 September) quotes a message to Stalin from the Toilisi town Farty conference published by KOMMUNISTY and ZARYA VOSTOKA. Here, as in most of the broadcasts emanating from Georgia, every instance of Farty corruption and mismanagement is invariably blamed on the "vicious methods" (porochnic mery) of the former Central Committee and former Party leadership in general. Even the unsatisfactory cadre-training at present is somehow construed as a "heritage of the past" when "mistakes leading to political corruption" in every field of Party activity were overlooked by the former Communist officials.

- 3 -

A great deal of "severe and justified" criticism is being heard at the current oblast Farty conferences in Kazakh SSR, according to KAZAKHSTANSKAYA PRAVDA (10 September). The education and selection of personnel for executive Party posts, says the paper, must "occupy the center of attention" of every Party organization but it is precisely this important phase of the work that is so often neglected. In Kokchetav Oblast, for example, "people unworthy of trust" (ludi nedostoinie doveria) have been selected and appointed to positions of leadership within the Party. The personnel situation in the other oblasts of the Republic is not much better, according to the paper, since "Bolshevik principles" guiding the selection of politically-qualified cadres are largely disregarded.

A broadcast from Kiev of 13 September speaks briefly of the "serious mistakes" uncovered in the training of cadres at the Kiev Oblast Party conference, but offers no details. The elaboration of the conference's proceedings is left to RADYANSKA UKRAINA which treats the subject of Party and other personnel in two consecutive editorials (12 and 13 September). The personnel-training program in the cultural field, says the paper (12 September), is as bad, if not worse, than in the political school network: "there exists an extremely unhealthy turnover among cultural education workers." Meanly one-third of the entire personnel is said to have been changed last year alone. Some Party and Soviet leaders, the paper complains, "still adhere to the harmful view" that even semiliterate persons can be employed in cultural and education work. This is borne out by the fact that

**CPYRGHT** 

more than one-half of the workers of the rural clubs, reading rooms and libraries have not completed even general secondary education, let alone any specialization.

In some rayons of Volhyn, Rovno and Transcarpathian Oblasts, it is pointed out, experienced club and library workers are shifted to different jobs with the approval of the rayon Party Committees and replaced by "persons unfit for this work." The paper is also dismayed to learn that "not a single educational establishment in the Ukraine" is training personnel specialized in the various branches of cultural education. Nor have they established a "material base," that is a physical plant, for such training since "the premises of most of the special and other schools have not been adapted to the necessary instruction processes."

Pursuing the personnel theme on the next day (13 September), the paper suggests the best Bolshevik method of selecting candidates for executive positions: "first for their political merats, and second for their business abilities..." This, it adds, does not mean that a businesslike approach should be replaced by a busibody's approach (tse znachyt ne peretvoryaty delovitosti v dilyatskiy pidkhid). Many people appear to be interested in the executive abilities of workers but do not bother about their political outlook, and it is the reverse in numerous other cases where political background is all that counts. Neither of these criteria is to be considered as "ultimate" (kintseviy), the paper reiterates, since the right kind of Communist or Soviet official must combine political loyalty and executive ability. Referring to the recent Dnepropetrovsk Oblast and lower Party conferences, the editorial speaks in disparaging terms of the "crude violations of the Bolshevik principles of cadre selection" revealed at those meetings. Instances of transferring "unmistakably bankrupt leaders" from one rayon to another just to keep them in executive positions are not uncommon in the oblast. Communist Velichenko, for example, has been shifted from one post to another "despite the well known fact"that this woeful leader (gore-kerivnyk) had repeatedly compromised himself, broken away from the masses and turned into a bureaucrat. Similar failings are imputed to the Lvov Oblast Farty Committee. It was disclosed at its recent conference that more than a third of the oblast Party officials had been changed for unexplained reasons "during the accountability period."

.. 4 ...

KIROVOGRADSKA PRAVDA also devotes two editorials (10 and 13 September) to a discussion of the oblast's personnel problems which, it says, are aggravated by "insufficient attention" to them on the part of the Party. The political education of the propagandist cadres by the Kirovograd town Party is unsatisfactory, while in Rovnyanskiy, Malo-Vyskovskiy Kamenskiy and other rayons the propagandists are left largely unsupervised and this negligence is reflected in their work. The one and two months courses opened for propagandists, political school heads and others in the oblasts "are not enough," the paper declares. Nothing short of continuous aid "throughout the education year" will improve the political and cadre situation in the oblast.

What inadequately-trained Party leadership may lead to is cited by PRAVDA (15 September) in the case of Nevyansk town Party Committee. The delegates to its recent conference pointed out that the Committee overlooked the fact that

the management of the automobile works permitted the anti-State practice of falsely recording higher output and taking unfinished automobiles off the conveyer belt. **CPYRGHT** 

Russian version:

**CPYRGHT** 

rukovoditeli avtozavoda dopuskali antigosudarstvennuyu praktiku pripisyvania k gotovoy produktsii, vypuskali s konveyera nekomplektnie avtomashiny.

Complaints of a similar nature, though less specific, are reported also by SEVERNAYA PRAVDA (13 September) and by Sadovskiy in RADYANSKA UKRAINA (10 September, not broadcast).

Party Contact With the Masses is the Soviet euphemism for propaganda and political lectures for the masses. Theoretically, the primary Party organizations are considered the main link between the Party and the population at large, but actually it is the duty of all Communist officials to "be in contact" with the people and see that they get their minimum dose of political enlightenment at regular intervals. Breaking away from the masses (otryv ot mass), says FRAVDA editorially on 5 September, is universally condemned in our country, and this practice is all the more reprehensible in the light of the revised Party Statute which calls for closer contact with the masses. Referring to the current nation-wide discussion of Party activities, the paper hints that this aspect of Communist life will require a great deal of looking into at the fortherming Party Congress, since in too many instances the gap between the Party members and the masses is too wide. The tendency of Communist officials to isolate themselves from the people goes hand in hand with "boasting, unjustified pride and conceit" (zaznaistyCPYRGHT samomnenie, chvanlivost), all qualities unworthy of Communist workers:

Severely criticized (at the current conferences) are those leaders who forget their primary duty of strengthening daily the contacts with the masses, who break away from the masses and no longer listen to their voice. Unfortunately, one still comes across such cases.

Russian version:

Surovoy kritike podvergayutsya te rukovoditeli, kotorie zabyvayut o svoey perveishey zadache -- povsednevno ukreplyat svyazi s massami, otryvayutsya ot mass, perestayut prislushivatsya k ikh golosu. A otdelnie fakty takogo roda, k sozhaleniu, eshche vstrechayutsya.

The Smolensk Party conference, for example, made it known that the rayon Party secretaries of the city had managed to break away from both the primary Party organizations

~ 5 ~

under their jurisdiction and the masses at the same time. Quoting a report from Alma Ata, the paper says that not a single official of the town Party Committee has spoken to the Kirov plant workers this year despite directives from higher Party levels outlining a series of lectures to be delivered at the plant. The Party, the editorial concludes, demands (trebuyet) that every Communist do his share of political work among the masses by explaining to them the meaning (raz'yasnyal im smysl) of domestic and international events.

Of some interest is a RADYANSKA UKRAINA article by Zakotyanskiy (3 September, not broadcast) purporting to show what happens to the masses when their contact with the Party is not close enough. The story is told of a certain "swindler, embezzler and thief" (shakhrai, raztratnyk i razkradach) Zhuravskiy who was appointed by the Odessa Party Committee to an executive position in the city's cooperative system despite his known reputation for dishonesty. It has now transpired that the new official and his "loyal" assistant Dekhtyar

are collecting monthly bribes from practically every retail sales outlet of from 150 to 300 rubles. In addition, bribes amounting to 200 to 250 rubles apiece are received from the artels for the marketing of finished products. Those who refuse to pay bribes are threatened with the loss of their job, and are thereby forced into crimes.

**CPYRGHT** 

Ukrainian text:

maizhe z kozhnoi torgovelnoy tochki shchomisyatsa zbyrayut khabari v rozmiri vid 150 do 300 krb. Z kozhnoi artili okremo berutsya khabari i za zbut vygotovlennoy produktsii v rozmiri 200-250 krb. A tym, khto ne khoche davaty khabariv zagrozhuyut znyaty z roboty, shtovkhayut na pryamiy zlochyn.

This Soviet version of "protection maney" collected by the above-named officials is, according to Zakotyanskiy, not as uncommon as might be supposed since many a swindler is said to find it easy "to get away with it" for a long time. Zhuravskiy, it is claimed, is still in office, receiving 790 rubles a month, but spending thousands, maintaining a summer home at the seashore and otherwise living in the lap of luxury.

Another instance of corrupt leadership which has lost contact with the masses as well as rank-and-file Communists is highlighted by RADYANSKA UKRAINA (10 September) in the case of Sambor town Party, Drogobych Oblast. Party secretary Slabchuk is reported to have piled up such an impressive record of failings and shady machinations that he regard have been exposed long ago had the Party bothered to look into his case. But lacking close contact with the primary organizations, the broad masses of the people and intelligentsia, the paper goes on, the Drogobych Oblast Party is simply unable to scrutinize properly his activities. There is no specific reference to the blunders this official is said to have committed beyond the paper's concluding remark that

**CPYRGHT** 

this ill-starred leader has lost the dignity of the Party worker, is committing crude political errors in his work, ignoring the demands of rank-and-file Communists and selecting cadres on the basis of friendship and personal devotion. He has been chummy with people of questionable political loyalty, conceals thieves of State funds, incites flattering and suppresses criticism from below.

Ukrainian version:

they gore-kerivnik vtratyv hidnist partiynogo pratsivnyka.

depuskaye gruti polytichny pomylky v svoii roboti, ignoruye
vymogy ryadovykh komunistiv, dobyraye kadriv za oznakoyu
pryyatelskykh vodnosyn i osobystoi viddanosti. Vin zzhyvsya
z ludmy, yaki ne vyklykayut politychnogo dovirya, pokryvaye
rozkradachiv derzhavnykh koshtiv, nasadzhuye pidlabuznytstvo,
glushyt krytyku znyzu.

- 6 -

Passing reference to Party-masses contact, in context of production shortcomings, is made in a RADYANSKA UKRAINA editorial broadcast on 5 September. The Kharkov town Party committee recently criticized itself and the oblast committee for neglecting one of the major Communist duties, the political education of the masses: "Members of the committees very seldom read political lectures to the workers." Conferences, sessions, directives and memoranda are no substitutes for actual work among the masses, says CHERNOMORSKA KOMMA editorially on 10 September, referring to the Odessa Oblast Party conference. Many Party and Soviet officials, particularly in the rural areas, "still fail to understand" that everything now depends on the organizational and political work among the masses. (No names of people or localities are mentioned, nor is there any explanation offered as to why everything depends on the work among the masses now more than at any other time.)

Intraparty Democracy, Self-Criticism—The dictatorial practices of high Communist officials, euphemistically referred to as "administratirovanie" (literally, administration mongering), are said to be the bane of the Party's life. Fear and suppression of criticism and the persistent reluctance to self-criticism are discussed in the same context. Sharply criticized in this connection also is the high-handed treatment accorded to the primary Party organizations, also known as "the voice from below," which are just one step above the masses and constitute the "chief link" between them and the Party.

Intraparty democracy, says PRAVDA on 11 September quoting Lenin and Stalin, calls for a rigid adherence to Party discipline, on the one hand, and free and unfettered discussion of Farty affairs by all members, on the other. The violation of either one of these conditions, therefore, defeats the purpose of "democracy in action" (demokratizm v deistvii). It is regrettable, says the paper, that rank-and-file Communists are not always given a chance to participate in plenary discussions or make their opinions heard on various issues affecting Party activities. That this evil is usually traceable PYRGHT to high-handed officials, the editorial continues, is evident from the reports on the oblast Party conferences now held throughout the country:

We still have such leaders, however, who...employ victous methods of work, violate intraparty democracy and do not listen to the voice of the messes. They erroneously believe in the existence of two degrees of intraparty discipline, one for rank-and-file members and another one for leaders.

Russian version:

Vztrechayutsya, odnako, takie rukovoditeli, kotorie...
rabotayut porochnymi metodami, narushayut vnutripartiynuyu
demokratiu, ne prislushivayutsya k golosu mass. Oni nepravilno
polagayut, chto budto by u nas v partii imeyetsya dve distsipliny-odna dlay ryadovykh chlenov, drugaya -- dlya rukovoditeley.

Intolerable manifestations of the "haughty attitude" (barskoye predstavlenie) of certain officials toward intraparty democracy are reported to have been revealed at recent rayon Party conferences of Irkutsk, Kalinin, Novosibirsk and other oblasts.

In many of those places Party meetings are seldom called, and rank-and-file members have little to do with the running of Party affairs. Similar shortcomings have been uncovered at the Melitopol and Ulyanovsk town Party conferences. In the latter town, particularly, the Party secretary himself is said to be the worse offender: he simply "terminated his relations with the Communists "(perestal obshchatsya s kommunistami).

~ 7 ~

A liberal attitude toward the suppressors of criticism (liberalnoye otnoshenie k glushitelyam kritiki) is said to characterize the behavior of some Khabarovsk city Party officials, according to an Ostapenko dispatch of 10 September (not broadcast). This attitude, in fact, appears to be gaining popularity among the local officials some of whom even try to clothe it in a pseudo-legal garb. Thus the chairman of the CPYRGHT Executive Committee who is also a member of the city's Party bureau stated that

at the rayon Farty conference the first secretary of the rayon committee must not criticize the chairman of the rayon Executive Committee, and the latter, in turn, should refrain from criticizing the secretary. For such criticism may have an adverse effect on their mutual relations and the work.

Russian version:

ne sleduvet na rayonnov partiynov konferentsii pervomu secretaryu raykoma kritikovat predsedatelya rayispolkoma, a tomu v svoyu ochered -- sekretarya raykoma. Eto, mol, budet otritsatelno skazyvatsya na ikh vzaimootnosheniakh i na rabote.

The suppression of criticism among city officials, the author asserts, has taken a variety of forms. In most cases, however, the criticized officials promise "to consider the remarks" (uchest zamechania) addressed to them from below, but as soon as the conference is over they proceed to oust the critics under some flimsy pretext or another. This happened in the case of the krai chief of "Glavtorchermet" Kotov who within a single year replaced more than half of his staff, penalizing many of them.

Activities of arbitrary Communist officials are instanced also in a Pogodin article in PRAVDA (10 September) on the Stalingrad city Farty conference. The resistance to intraparty democracy in that town is seen in the thorough intimidation of actual and would-be critics: "people are removed from their posts and not given a chance to defend themselves before the party organization" (ludey snimeyut s postov, ne dav im vozmozhnosti otchityvatsya pered partiyacy organizatsiey). Bablyak in RADYANSKA UKRAINA (10 September) says that there appears to be no restriction on the suppression of criticism and "assistance to criminals" (pidtrymka zlochyntsyam) in Lutsk. Indeed Communist officials

the slightest attempt to criticize from below is construed as an endeavor 'to split the monolithic unity,' to undermine the authority of the leadership and so forth.

Ukrainian version:

aymenshi sproby krytyky snyzu tut roztsinuvalys yak samsgannya 'rozkoloty monolitnyi kolektyv', yak pidryv vtorytetu kerivnytstva i t.d.

The Lutsk town Party Committee, it is pointed out, is aware of most of the shortcomings within the organizations under its jurisdiction but fails to do anything about them. It has been warned also that "a gang of embezzlers of socialist property" (zgraya rozkradachiv sotsialistychnoy vlasnosti) is doing a thriving business in the city's brick-making plants, but apparently no steps are being taken to apprehend them. The Lutsk Communist officials, Bablyak believed are blinded by the illusory successes (mnimy uspikhy) of the plants, and in their anxiety to keep up the illusion have even "frequently taken these criminals under their protection" (neridko braly tsikh zlochyntsiv pid sviy sakhist).

- 8 -

In a short unsigned article published on 12 September and not broadcast, PRAVDA takes issue with PRAVDA UKRAINY for its peculiar attitude toward intraparty democracy. Most of the criticism published by that paper, says PRAVDA, somehow happens to be directed "from the top downward" (swerkhu vniz), while critical material addressed to oblast and higher levels of the Party and Government is seldom given any space. Some of the newspaper's correspondents are said to be keeping away from "acutely controversial themes" (strie kriticheskie temy) altogether.

Appeals for more attention to the primary Party organizations are contained in reports published in RADYANSKA UKRAINA (7 September) and FRAVDA (9 September). Chernovets Oblast and Krasnoyarsk city Party Committees are rebuked for their "utter disregard" of those organizations which binders the cause of intraparty democracy.

#### IDEOLOGY

Ideological questions, like most of the topics discussed on the radio and in the press in the past three weeks, are treated in context of the anticipated deliberations of the 19th Party Congress. PRAVDA still devotes much space to reactions to the revised Party Statute and urges the rest of the Soviet press to follow its example. What looks like a modicum of free discussion of the proposed Statute is seen in some conflicting proposals published in the letter-to-the-editor section of the paper which came out in another of its rare six-page issues on 14 September.

As mentioned in the previous CFW report, a Voroshilovgrad worker took exception to the wording of the paragraph defining eligibility for membership in the Communist Party. He suggested the deletion of the sentence "any worker who does not exploit someone else's labor, "for since exploitation in the USSR has been eliminated such wording becomes irrelevant and subject to wrong interpretation. The 14 September issue of the paper carries a reply to the mentioned proposal from two students of the Higher Party School attached to the Central Committee of the All-Union Communist Party. The suggestion to delete the word "exploitation", they say, is wrong and utterly unfounded. The revised Party Statute consolidates the achievements made under the Stalin constitution and is therefore justified in referring to them in the same phraseology. Moreover, the paragraph under discussion PYRGHT they affirm, contains far reaching implications and should therefore be left intact:

Nor should it be forgotten that this thesis will be of enormous significance for the fraternal Communist Parties which are and will be learning from the experience of our Party.

Russian text:

Nelzya zabywat takzhe i o tom, chto eto polozhenie budet imet ogromnoye znachenie dlya bratskikh kommunisticheskikh partiy, kotorie uchatsya i budut uchitsya na opyte nashey partii.

A letter from Akhmedeyev of Alma Ata states that the proposed charter is not specific enough in its treatment of the friendship-of-peoples theme. The Statute, he points out, should make it obligatory for every Party member and candidate to work for the further consolidation of friendship between the various nationalities of the USSR. This, it is suggested, could be achieved only by the introduction of the following definition into the appropriate paragraph:

"...every manifestation of chauvinist and bourgeois-nationalist views is incompatible with membership in the Communist Purty of the Soviet Union which is an international Party."

Russian text:

"...vsyakoye proyavlenie shovinisticheskikh i burzhuaznonatsionalisticheskikh vzglyadov nesovmestimo s prebyvaniem v ryadakh Kommunisticheskoy partii Sovetskogo Soyuza, yavlyaushcheisya internatsionalisticheskoy partiey."

.. 9 ...

Of some interest in the otherwise limited discussions of ideological topics is a PRAVDA report from Kishinev (7 September) on the recent plenary session of the Central Committee of the Moldavian Communist Party. Having administered a mild rebuke to the Central Committee "for underestimating ideological work" (za nedootsenku ideologicheskoy raboty), the delegates are said to have shown less moderation in the treatment of the Republic's writers, literary critics and historians. The idealization of the past (idealizatsia proshlogo), it is claimed, is still a common feature of Moldavian literature. Another intimation that Moldavia's history is undergoing revision is contained in the charges leveled at writer Bereznyakov some of whose "confusing articles" (putannie stat'i) reiterate the bourgeois lies about an alleged "golden age" (zolotoy vek) in the past history of Moldavia. Other and no less serious errors are said to have been committed by the same writer in his monograph on "The Capture of Bessarabia by Rumania in 1918," but the nature of those mistakes is not disclosed. Levit's articles on "The Looting and Destruction of Moldavia by the German-Fascist Occupationists in 1941-1944" as well as numerous accounts by other authors of the South Ukrainian guerrilla movement during the Civil War also contain "serious errors."

Bukov and many other unwaved writers, the session disclosed, do not seem overly perturbed about their erroneous works and show no anxiety about revising them. Others have been refraining from reviewing newly-published books "for fear of spoiling relations with their authors" (daby ne isportit otnosheniy s ikh avtorami). Dereliction of duty is imputed also to the Republican Society for the Propagation of Political and Scientific Knowledge; most of its lectures and reports appear in the Russian language, and only "very seldon" (kraine redko) in Moldavian. Disparaging reference is made to the ideological activities of the Composers Union, the Moldavian branch of the Academy of Sciences and the social sciences departments of the universities, but no details are offered.

A Chernichenko dispatch to FRAVDA (3 September, not broadcast) tells of the continuing ideological shortcomings revealed at the latest conference of the Kiev town Party conference. The work of the Writers Union is found to be improving extremely slowly (kraine medlenno), and the "family circle atmosphere" (semeistvennost) among the writers has not yet seen eliminated. Still unsatisfactory (eshabe neudovletvoritelnie) are the activities of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences and the Kiev Theatre of Opera and Ballet. Occasional "relapses into bourgeois-nationalism and cosmopolitanism" (retsidivy bourzhuasnogo natsionalism a kosmopolitizma) are still noted in the activities of the Academy's Institute of Literature. The recently-published second volume of Stefanik's works, containing favorable references to the "worst enemies of the Ukrainian people" (gleishie vragi ukrainskogo naroda), the bourgeois nationalists, is said to have been passed by the Institute's editors without a single change.

## MISCELLANEOUS

A broadcast from Kiev for the provincial press (9 September) says that an apparatus for inducing "electro-anesthesia" (elektroson) and capable of anesthetizing six persons simultaneously has been built by the Bogomolets Institute of Biology and Pathology. Electrodes placed on the patient's head carry a special current which is said to calm the cells of the central nervous system.

The importance of vigilance against spies and subversives is emphasized in a broadcast for junior school children (Kiev, 11 September), commemorating the 75th anniversary of the death of Dzerzhinskiy, the first head of the Soviet secret service (cheka). The story called "An Accident" is about a Red Army soldier who saw a young woman drop a package which looked like a bundle of letters. "Gallantly" picking up those "letters" to hand them back to the owner, he discovered that they were really highly secret plans of the Red Force defense lines. The patriot-soldier turned the woman over to Dzerzhinsky's "cheka" which eventually identified her as a French emigre heading a spy ring for counterrevolutionary forces.